



November 26, 2008

Sent via email

Eric Johnson U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 8, 8ENF-T 999 18th Street, Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for October 2008 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the October 2008 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)
John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S)



November 26, 2008

Sent via U.S. Mail

Glenn Rogers, Chairman. Shivwits Band of Paiute Indian Tribe 6060 West 3650 North Ivins, Utah 84738

John Krause Bureau of Indian Affairs 400 North 5th Street, Floor 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Kelly Youngbear BIA Southern Paiute Agency P.O. Box 720 St. George, UT 84771

RE:

Progress report for October 2008 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Chairman Rogers, Mr. Krause and Ms. Youngbear:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the October 2008 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept. (w/o attachments)

John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S) (w/o attachments)

Eric Johnson (USEPA, Region VIII) (w/o attachments)



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 54 for period ending October 31, 2008; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington

zovo, ronu z i mai ciosure "Apex Site, wasin

County, Utah

Summary

The monthly visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on October 11. No unusual conditions were noted. Monuments were surveyed.

Work Planned for Next Period

Visual inspection of site

Settlement monument survey – quarterly basis

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in October 2008 were \$852. Total project to date committed is approximately \$1,288,400.

Supplemental Attachments

October 2008 site inspection report October 2008 cost report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date:	-11-01 -Tann	<u>P</u>	· ·	
. Cover System Component		otential Problem	Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion or	r Fencing Issues	NA NA	NA
	Subsidenc	ce	Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes Y No
÷.			Significant: see Table 2	Yes No 💆
,	Embankm	nent Slope Stability	excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes _ • No <u>k</u>
		on top	depth > 1"	Van + Na E
Cover System (outslopes, top,		at empankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes No χ
rock)	Gullying	w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes No ½
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes No <u> N</u>
		in diversion channel at any other location	NA	NA
., .	Erosion Protection Stability		rock subsiding or missing	Yes * No 🏏
,	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystallization)	Yes 'No XO
	Diversion Channel		rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes 🗸 • No
Runoff Control System	Diversion S	Swales	rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes '∕√' No
	Excessive silt build up at fence lines in diversion channel		allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes 🟑 No

^{*} Mark ail areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

	Inspe	ection Date:	10-11-	-08		•			
	·	Inspector:	D: 12	house					
	·		Visible Out	tlying Areas	}				
Observed Condition:	Add Arcas	ok							
					·				:
Observed Damage:	Nonce								,
		•				May requi	re repair: Ye	es* N	10 70
		Property Bo	oundary Fence	e and Gate (walk fence	line)			•
Observed Condition:	Frees	and Si	gan orb						
Observed Damage:	Non-								
	•	•							
Potential Corrective Actions:	NW-		**************************************						
		,				May requi	re repair: Ye	s* N	io <u>9</u> 0
		All Upgradi	ent Areas (are	as that drain	onto prop	erty)			
Observed Condition:	No Proble	ياسد.							
									•
Observed Damage:	recore								
		,				May requir	e repair: Ye	s ' N	مل ٥

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pong 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

Inspection Date: 18-11-88							
er List with	Outslopes						
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence: Yes No X	May require repair: Yes* No					
	Excessive Slope Movement (failure): Yes No	May require repair: Yes No					
	Gully Development: Yes No 10	May require repair: Yes No 👱					
	Observable Leachate (colored): Yes No 🗸	May require repair: Yes No					
	Excessive Siltation (at slope toe): Yes No 10	May require repair: Yes No					
Observed Namage:	WN-						
Potential Corrective A Actions:	Jan						
	Top (top surface soils)						
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width): Yes No V	May require repair: Yes* No					
The state of the s	Settlement / Evidence of Ponding: Yes No No	May require repair: Yes * No					
in francisco Africa (n. 1995)	Erosion / Gullying: Yes No y	May require repair: Yes* No					
Observed Damage:	Vone						
Potential	Lone						
	Erosion Protection Layer (rock)						
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place: Yes to No	May require repair: Yes No					
	Rock Subsiding: Yes No 💆	May require repair: Yes No 🗡					
	Missing Rock: Yes No 😼	May require repair: Yes* No 👱					
Observed Damage: N/	Ne						
Potential Corrective A Actions:	byte						

mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date:/ Inspector:	D. porms		
		Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes ⊻ No	May require repair: Yes No —
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	Yes <u>V</u> No	May require repair: Yes * No
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes No 💆	May require repair: Yes' No
Observed Namage:	lone		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Potential Corrective A Actions:	une		
		Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes <u>10</u> No	May require repair: Yes* No 💆
in Ding in Original	Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes No 👱	May require repair: Yes* No 💆
užina kari u ili ur	Head Cutting:	Yes _ No 🗸	May require repair: Yes No Y
Observed Damage:	lule		
Potential Corrective Actions:	NC		
	٠		e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 10-31-08	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2006)			·				,
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	Ō	242,882	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	0	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Hecla Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
Long Term Monitoring (through FY 2010)				*************************			
Site Inspections	1. farman () 100-116 () 10. Arrens () 100-1		177	6,189	1,066	7,255	
Settlement Monitoring			675	6,750		10,425	
Consultant Support:							A CANADA CONTROL OF A STREET OF THE STREET O
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections				2,495	18,100		Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring	,		0	0	20,000		Allowance for surveys in FY 2008 - 2010
Site Conditions Review - MEI				6,474	3,327	9,801	The state of the s
Site Conditions Review - SVL Analytical Erosion Repair Review - MEI			0	2,079 2,927	573	2,079 3,500	
Revegetation Review - Bamberg		,		2,921	3,500	Annual and a comment	the contraction of the commence of the contraction
Maintenance:							Annual control of the state of
Erosion Repair Allowance	***************************************			21,941	7,500		Erosion repair conducted April 2008
Revegetation Allowance		, c a c tapaca access to bay as	a carethiring has garange where in histograph	9,912	10,000	19,912	Revegetation conducted April 2008
Hecla Project Management Costs:							
Labor			0	2,266		10,175	
Travel expenses			0	0	1,312	1,312	The state of the s
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	852	61,033	76,962	137,995	
The second secon							
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	852	1,288,426	76,962	1,365,388	

Ericr Johnson/R8/USEPA/US 12/01/2008 07:10 AM

To Amy Swanson/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

CC

bcc

Subject Fw: Scan from Xerox WorkCentre

---- Forwarded by Ericr Johnson/R8/USEPA/US on 12/01/2008 07:10 AM ----



Paul Glader <ppglader@hecla-mining.com</pre>

To Ericr Johnson/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

CC

11/26/2008 03:48 PM

Subject FW: Scan from Xerox WorkCentre

Eric

Apex - October monthly.

Paul

----Original Message----

From: techcopier@hecla-mining.com [mailto:techcopier@hecla-mining.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2008 5:27 AM

To: Paul Glader

Subject: Scan from Xerox WorkCentre

Please open the attached document. It was sent to you using a Xerox WorkCentre

Sent by: Guest [techcopier@hecla-mining.com] Number of Images: 8 Attachment File Type: PDF

WorkCentre Location: Tech Services Workroom Device Name: XeroxENG55

